arXiv:1609.09588v1 [math.RA] 30 Sep 2016

One-Lee weight and two-Lee weight $\mathbb{Z}_2\mathbb{Z}_2[u]$-additive codes*

Zhenliang Lu, Shixin Zhu, Liqi Wang, Xiaoshan Kai

School of Mathematics, Hefei University of Technology, Hefei 230009, Anhui, PR China
National Mobile Communications Research Laboratory, Southeast University, Nanjing 210096, PR China

Abstract In this paper, we study one-Lee weight and two-Lee weight codes over $\mathbb{Z}_2\mathbb{Z}_2[u]$, where $u^2 = 0$. Some properties of one-Lee weight $\mathbb{Z}_2\mathbb{Z}_2[u]$-additive codes are given, and a complete classification of one-Lee weight $\mathbb{Z}_2\mathbb{Z}_2[u]$-additive formally self-dual codes is obtained. The structure of two-Lee weight projective $\mathbb{Z}_2\mathbb{Z}_2[u]$ codes are determined. Some optimal binary linear codes are obtained directly from one-Lee weight and two-Lee weight $\mathbb{Z}_2\mathbb{Z}_2[u]$-additive codes via the extended Gray map.

Keywords one-Lee weight codes; two-Lee weight codes; $\mathbb{Z}_2\mathbb{Z}_2[u]$-additive codes; formally self-dual codes.

1 Introduction

A code over a finite field is said to be a t-weight code if the cardinality of the set of nonzero Hamming weights is t. This class of codes is interesting in the area of coding theory due to many applications in mathematics such as strongly regular graphs and projective point-sets ([2,4,5,7,9]). Thus, t-weight codes over finite fields have received a lot of attention (see [8,13,15,16]). After a landmark paper [14] showed that certain good nonlinear binary codes can be constructed from linear codes over $\mathbb{Z}_4$ via the Gray map, t-Lee weight codes over finite rings have been extensively studied (see, for example, [1,6,11,17,18,20]). One-Lee weight linear codes over $\mathbb{Z}_4$ were first studied by Carlet [6]. More generally, the structure of one-Lee weight linear codes over $\mathbb{Z}_m$ were determined by Wood [21]. The structures of one-Lee weight codes and two-Lee weight projective codes over $\mathbb{F}_2 + u\mathbb{F}_2$ were explored by Wang et al. [20]. The results [20] were extended to the ring $\mathbb{F}_p + u\mathbb{F}_p$ by Shi et al. and many optimal linear codes over $\mathbb{F}_p$ were obtained from one-Lee weight codes and two-Lee weight codes over $\mathbb{F}_p + u\mathbb{F}_p$ [17,18].

Additive codes were first introduced by Delsarte [10], where it was shown that any abelian binary propelinear code has the form $\mathbb{Z}_2^\alpha \times \mathbb{Z}_4^\beta$. This motivated one to study $\mathbb{Z}_2\mathbb{Z}_4$-additive codes. The structure of one weight $\mathbb{Z}_2\mathbb{Z}_4$-additive codes has been investigated by Dougherty et al. [12]. Formally self-dual codes in $\mathbb{Z}_2^\alpha \times \mathbb{Z}_4^\beta$ are constructed and some good formally self-dual codes as the binary images of these codes were obtained [11,22]. Recently, $\mathbb{Z}_2\mathbb{Z}_2[u]$-additive codes have been introduced by Aydogdu et al. [1], where the standard forms of generator and parity check matrices of the $\mathbb{Z}_2\mathbb{Z}_2[u]$-additive codes were determined and a MacWilliams identity for these codes was proved.

Motivated by the work listed above, the purpose of this paper is to discuss one-Lee weight and two-Lee weight codes over $\mathbb{Z}_2\mathbb{Z}_2[u]$. We study the structure and possible weights for all one-Lee weight $\mathbb{Z}_2\mathbb{Z}_2[u]$-additive formally self-dual codes. In particular, the structure of two-Lee weight projective $\mathbb{Z}_2\mathbb{Z}_2[u]$-additive codes are discussed. Some optimal linear codes as the binary images of one-Lee and two-Lee weight $\mathbb{Z}_2\mathbb{Z}_2[u]$-additive codes are given.

2 Preliminaries

*E-mail addresses: luzhenliang1992@sina.cn(Z.Lu), zhushixin@hfut.edu.cn(S.Zhu), liqiwangg@163.com(L.Wang), kxs6@sina.com(X.Kai).

Denote by $\mathbb{Z}_2$ the ring of integers module 2. Let R be the commutative ring $\mathbb{Z}_2 + u\mathbb{Z}_2 = \{a + ub \mid a, b \in \mathbb{Z}_2\} = \{0, 1, u, u+1\}$, where $u^2 = 0$. Obviously, the ring $\mathbb{Z}_2$ is a subring of the ring R. Let $\mathbb{Z}_2^n$ be the set of n-tuples over $\mathbb{Z}_2$. Any non-empty subset C of $\mathbb{Z}_2^n$ is called a binary code, and if that code is a vector space, then the code C is called a $\mathbb{Z}_2$-linear code. We define a Gray map ψ from R to $\mathbb{Z}_2^2$ in the following way:

$$\begin{aligned} \psi : R &\to \mathbb{Z}_2^2, \\ (a + ub) &\to (b, a + b). \end{aligned}$$

So $\psi(0) = (0,0), \psi(1) = (0,1), \psi(u) = (1,1), \psi(u+1) = (1,0)$. The ring $\mathbb{Z}_2\mathbb{Z}_2[u]$ is defined by

$$\mathbb{Z}_2\mathbb{Z}_2[u] = \{(a|b) | a \in \mathbb{Z}_2 \text{ and } b \in R\}.$$

The ring is not closed under standard multiplication by the element u in the ring R. Therefore, to make the ring $\mathbb{Z}_2\mathbb{Z}_2[u]$ an R-module, we introduce the following scalar multiplication:

for any $c_1 = (a_0, a_1, \cdots, a_{\alpha-1}|b_0, b_1, \cdots, b_{\beta-1}) \in \mathbb{Z}_2\mathbb{Z}_2[u]$ and $c = r + qu \in R$,

$$c \cdot c_1 = (ra_0, ra_1, \cdots, ra_{\alpha-1}|cb_0, cb_1, \cdots, cb_{\beta-1}).$$

Let $(a|b) \in \mathbb{Z}_2^\alpha \times \mathbb{Z}_2[u]^\beta$, where α, β are nonnegative integers, $a = (a_0, a_1, \cdots, a_{\alpha-1}) \in \mathbb{Z}_2^\alpha$, and $b = (b_0, b_1, \cdots, b_{\beta-1}) \in R^\beta$. Then the Gray map Φ from $\mathbb{Z}_2^\alpha \times \mathbb{Z}_2[u]^\beta$ to $\mathbb{Z}_2^{\alpha+2\beta}$ is defined as

$$\Phi(a|b) = (a_0, a_1, \cdots, a_{\alpha-1}|\psi(b_0), \psi(b_1), \cdots, \psi(b_{\beta-1})).$$

A code C is called a $\mathbb{Z}_2\mathbb{Z}_2[u]$ additive code if it is a R-submodule of $\mathbb{Z}_2^\alpha \times \mathbb{Z}_2[u]^\beta$ under the above scalar multiplication. For any two vectors $v = (v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_\alpha \mid v_{\alpha+1}, \ldots, v_{\alpha+\beta})$ and $w = (w_1, w_2, \ldots, w_\alpha \mid w_{\alpha+1}, \ldots, w_{\alpha+\beta}) \in \mathbb{Z}_2^\alpha \times \mathbb{Z}_2[u]^\beta$, their inner product is defined by

$$v \cdot w = u \sum_{i=1}^{\alpha} v_i w_i + \sum_{j=\alpha+1}^{\alpha+\beta} v_j w_j \in \mathbb{Z}_2 + u\mathbb{Z}_2.$$

The dual code of C is defined as

$$C^\perp = \{w \in \mathbb{Z}_2^\alpha \times \mathbb{Z}_2[u]^\beta \mid v \cdot w = 0 \text{ for all } v \in C\}.$$

For any codeword $c = (a_0, a_1, \cdots, a_{\alpha-1}|\ b_0, b_1, \cdots, b_{\beta-1}) \in C$, we denote by $w_L(c)$ the Lee weight in the following way: $w_L(c) = \sum_{i=0}^{\alpha-1} w_L(a_i) + \sum_{i=0}^{\beta-1} w_L(b_i)$, where $w_L(0) = 0, w_L(1) = 1, w_L(u) = 2$ and $w_L(u+1) = 1$. Note the Hamming weight of an n-tuple is the number of its nonzero entries. So, $w_L(a_i) = w_H(a_i)$ for $0 \le i \le \alpha - 1$, and $w_L(b_i) = w_H(\psi(b_i))$ for $0 \le i \le \beta - 1$. Therefore, $w_L(c) = \sum_{i=0}^{\alpha-1} w_H(a_i) + \sum_{i=0}^{\beta-1} w_H(\psi(b_i))$. This Lee weight function also defines a Lee distance function $d_L(x, y) = w_L(x - y)$ on $\mathbb{Z}_2\mathbb{Z}_2[u]$ between $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}_2^\alpha \times \mathbb{Z}_2[u]^\beta$. It is easy to see that the Gray map Φ is a weight preserving map from ($\mathbb{Z}_2^\alpha\mathbb{Z}_2[u]^\beta$, Lee weight) to ($\mathbb{Z}_2^{\alpha+2\beta}$, Hamming weight). And Φ is a distance preserving map from ($\mathbb{Z}_2^\alpha\mathbb{Z}_2[u]^\beta$, Lee distance) to ($\mathbb{Z}_2^{\alpha+2\beta}$, Hamming distance).

Theorem 2.1 ([1]). *Let C be a $\mathbb{Z}_2\mathbb{Z}_2[u]$-additive code. Then C is permutation equivalent to a $\mathbb{Z}_2\mathbb{Z}_2[u]$-additive code with the standard form matrix*

$$\begin{pmatrix} I_{k_0} & A_1 & 0 & 0 & uT \\ 0 & S & I_{k_1} & A & B_1 + uB_2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & uI_{k_2} & uD \end{pmatrix},$$

where A, A_1, S, D, B_1, B_2 and T are $\mathbb{Z}_2$-matrices, $I_{k_i}(i = 0, 1, 2)$ are $k_i \times k_i$ identity matrices. The code C contains $2^{k_0+2k_1+k_2}$ codewords.

Related to the standard form matrix, such an additive code C is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}_2^{k_0} \times \mathbb{Z}_2^{2k_1} \times \mathbb{Z}_2^{k_2}$, and C is said to be of type $(\alpha, \beta; k_0, k_1, k_2)$. Throughout this paper, we make the convention that the standard form matrix of C with no all zero columns.

3 One-Lee weight $\mathbb{Z}_2\mathbb{Z}_2[u]$-additive codes

In this section, we study the properties of one-Lee weight $\mathbb{Z}_2\mathbb{Z}_2[u]$-additive codes. We first give the following definition.

Definition 3.1. A linear code is said to have one weight if every nonzero codeword has the same Hamming weight. A $\mathbb{Z}_2\mathbb{Z}_2[u]$-additive code is called a one-Lee weight code if every nonzero codeword has the same Lee weight.

Let C be a one-Lee weight $\mathbb{Z}_2\mathbb{Z}_2[u]$-additive codes with nonzero weight m. It is known that $\Phi(C)$ is a one weight binary code with weight m.

Theorem 3.2. *Let C be a one-Lee weight $\mathbb{Z}_2^{\alpha}\mathbb{Z}_2[u]^{\beta}$-additive code, then the sum $\sum_{c\in C} w_L(c)$ of the Lee weights of all the codewords of C is equal to $\frac{|C|}{2}(\alpha + 2\beta)$.*

Proof. Write all the codewords of C as a $|C| \times (\alpha+\beta)$ array. Note that C is an additive code. First, we consider the binary part of the codewords, it is easy to check that the number of each column contains 0 and 1 is equally. Next, we consider the nonbinary part, namely the last β columns of array. Clearly, any column in this part corresponds to the next two cases and does not contain any other case.

(1) Any column contains an equal numbers of 0, 1, u and $u + 1$.

(2) Any column contains an equal numbers of 0 and u.

Let N_1 be the number of columns in Case (1). Then $\beta - N_1$ is the number of columns in Case (2). Hence, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{c\in C} w_L(c) =&\alpha\left(\frac{|C|}{2}\right) + N_1\left(\frac{|C|}{4} + \frac{|C|}{2}\cdot 2 + \frac{|C|}{4}\right) + (\beta - N_1)\left(\frac{|C|}{2}\cdot 2\right)\\ =&\frac{|C|}{2}(\alpha + 2\beta).\end{aligned}$$

□

Theorem 3.3. *Let C be a one-Lee weight $\mathbb{Z}_2\mathbb{Z}_2[u]$-additive code of type $(\alpha, \beta; k_0, k_1, k_2)$ with weight m, then there exists a unique positive integer λ such that $m = \lambda\frac{|C|}{2}$ and $\alpha + 2\beta = \lambda(|C| - 1)$.*

Proof. According to Theorem 3.2, the sum $\sum_{c\in C} w_L(c)$ of the Lee weights of all the codewords of C is equal to $\frac{|C|}{2}(\alpha + 2\beta)$. On the other hand, the sum of the weights is equal to $m(|C| - 1)$. So, $\frac{|C|}{2}(\alpha + 2\beta) = m(|C| - 1)$. Since $|C| = 2^{k_0+2k_1+k_2}$, it follows that $\gcd(\frac{|C|}{2}, |C| - 1) = 1$. Hence, there

must exists a unique positive integer λ such that $m = \lambda\frac{|C|}{2}$ and $\alpha + 2\beta = \lambda(|C| - 1)$. □

Corollary 3.4. *Let C be a one-Lee weight $\mathbb{Z}_2\mathbb{Z}_2[u]$-additive code of type $(\alpha, \beta; k_0, k_1, k_2)$ with weight m. If m is odd, then $C = \{(\underbrace{0, \cdots, 0}_{\alpha} \,|\, \underbrace{0, \cdots, 0}_{\beta}), (\underbrace{1, \cdots, 1}_{\alpha} \,|\, \underbrace{u, \cdots, u}_{\beta})\}$.*

Proof. By Theorem 3.3, $m = \lambda\frac{|C|}{2}$ and $\alpha + 2\beta = \lambda(|C| - 1)$. By Theorem 2.1, $|C| = 2^{k_0+2k_1+k_2}$. Since m is odd, we can deduce that λ is odd and $\frac{|C|}{2} = 1$. Then $\alpha + 2\beta = \lambda = m$. Notice that $(\underbrace{1, \cdots, 1}_{\alpha} \,|\, \underbrace{u, \cdots, u}_{\beta})$ is the only codeword with weight $\alpha + 2\beta$, so

$$C = \{(\underbrace{0, \cdots, 0}_{\alpha} \,|\, \underbrace{0, \cdots, 0}_{\beta}), (\underbrace{1, \cdots, 1}_{\alpha} \,|\, \underbrace{u, \cdots, u}_{\beta})\}.$$

□

According to Theorem 3.3, we can get the following corollary immediately.

Corollary 3.5. *Let C be a one-Lee weight $\mathbb{Z}_2\mathbb{Z}_2[u]$-additive code of type $(\alpha, \beta; k_0, k_1, k_2)$, then there exists a family of one weight linear code $\Phi(C)$ with parameters $[\alpha + 2\beta, k_0 + 2k_1 + k_2, \lambda\frac{|C|}{2}]$.*

Example 3.6. Consider the $\mathbb{Z}_2\mathbb{Z}_2[u]$-additive code C of type (3, 3; 1, 0, 1) generated by the following generator matrix

$$G = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & u \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & u & u & u \end{pmatrix}.$$

The Lee weight enumerator of C is $W_L(x, y) = x^9 + 3x^3y^6$. So C is a one-Lee weight $\mathbb{Z}_2\mathbb{Z}_2[u]$-additive code. The Gray image of C is a binary linear quasi-cyclic code of index 3 with good parameters [9, 2, 6], and the Gray image of $C^\perp$ is a binary $[9, 7, 2]$-linear code.

Example 3.7. Consider the $\mathbb{Z}_2\mathbb{Z}_2[u]$-additive code C of type (1, 4; 1, 0, 1) generated by the following generator matrix

$$G = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & u & 0 & u \\ 0 & u & u & u & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The code C has the same Lee weight enumerator as the code in Example 3.6. So, C is also a one-Lee weight $\mathbb{Z}_2\mathbb{Z}_2[u]$-additive code. The $\Phi(C)$ is an optimal binary $[9, 2, 6]$-linear code, however, $\Phi(C)$ is not quasi-cyclic.

Example 3.8. Consider the $\mathbb{Z}_2\mathbb{Z}_2[u]$-additive code C of type (4, 5; 2, 0, 1) generated by the following generator matrix

$$G = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & u & 0 & u & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & u & u & 0 & u & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & u & u & u & 0 & u \end{pmatrix}.$$

The Lee weight enumerator of C is $W_L(x, y) = x^{14} + 7x^6y^8$. So, C is a one-Lee weight $\mathbb{Z}_2\mathbb{Z}_2[u]$-additive code. $\Phi(C)$ is an optimal binary $[14, 3, 8]$-linear code, and $\Phi(C^\perp)$ is a binary $[14, 11, 2]$-linear code.

4 One-Lee weight $\mathbb{Z}_2\mathbb{Z}_2[u]$-additive formally self-dual codes

Let C be a one-Lee weight $\mathbb{Z}_2\mathbb{Z}_2[u]$-additive code with weight m. Let $N = \alpha+2\beta$ and $\{A_0, A_1, \cdots, A_N\}$ be its Lee weight distribution. The Lee weight enumerator of C is defined by

$$W_L(x,y) = \sum_{i=0}^{N} A_i x^{N-i} y^i = x^N + (|C|-1)x^{N-m}y^m.$$

The MacWilliams identity with respect to the Lee weight enumerators of C is

$$W_{L_C}(x,y) = \frac{1}{|C^\perp|} W_{L_{C^\perp}}(x+y, x-y).$$

Let $\{B_0, B_1, \cdots, B_N\}$ be the Lee weight distribution of $C^\perp$. Then we have

$$x^N + (|C|-1)x^{N-m}y^m = \frac{1}{|C^\perp|}\sum_{i=0}^{N} B_i (x+y)^{N-i}(x-y)^i. \tag{1}$$

Let $x = 1$, then by differentiating (1) with respect to y and setting $y = 1$, we obtain

$$|C| = \frac{1}{|C^\perp|} 2^N, \tag{2}$$

$$m(|C|-1) = \frac{|C|}{2}(N - B_1), \tag{3}$$

$$m^2(|C|-1) = \frac{|C|}{2}[\frac{N}{2}(N+1) - NB_1 + B_2]. \tag{4}$$

Theorem 4.1. *Let C be a one-Lee weight $\mathbb{Z}_2\mathbb{Z}_2[u]$-additive code with weight m, then $d(C^\perp) \geq 2$. If $\lambda = 1$, then $d(C^\perp) \geq 3$. If $\lambda = 2$ and $C^\perp$ is a one-Lee weight additive code, then $C = C^\perp$ and $C = \{(0,0|), (1,1|)\}$ or $C = \{(|0), (|u)\}$.*

Proof. Since $m = \lambda\frac{|C|}{2}$ and $N = \alpha + 2\beta = \lambda(|C|-1)$, then $m(|C|-1) = \lambda\frac{|C|}{2}(|C|-1) = \frac{|C|}{2}N$. By (3), we have $m(|C|-1) = \frac{|C|}{2}(N - B_1)$, therefore we can deduce that $B_1 = 0$ and $d(C^\perp) \geq 2$. By (4), we have $m^2(|C|-1) = \frac{|C|}{2}[\frac{N}{2}(N+1) - NB_1 + B_2]$. So we obtain that $B_2 = \frac{N}{2}(\lambda - 1)$. Clearly $\lambda = 1$, so $B_2 = 0$ and $d(C^\perp) \geq 3$.

If $\lambda = 2$, then $B_2 = \frac{N}{2}(\lambda - 1) = \frac{N}{2} = |C| - 1$. Since $C^\perp$ is a one-Lee weight additive code, then $|C^\perp| = B_0 + B_2 = |C|$. By (2), $|C| = \frac{1}{|C^\perp|}2^N$. Therefore, we can deduce that $m = |C| = N = 2$. So $\alpha = 2, \beta = 0$ or $\alpha = 0, \beta = 1$. If $\alpha = 2$ and $\beta = 0$, then $(1,1|)$ is the only codeword with weight 2. If $\alpha = 0$ and $\beta = 1$, then $(|u)$ is the only codeword with weight 2. So $C = C^\perp$, and $C = \{(0,0|), (1,1|)\}$ or $C = \{(|0), (|u)\}$. □

A linear code C is called self-orthogonal if $C \subseteq C^\perp$ and self-dual if $C = C^\perp$. A linear code C is called formally self-dual if its weight enumerator is the same as the weight enumerator of its orthogonal. Clearly any self-dual code is necessarily formally self-dual, but not all formally self-dual code are self-dual.

Definition 4.2. Let C be a $\mathbb{Z}_2\mathbb{Z}_2[u]$-additive codes. If $W_{L_C}(x,y) = W_{L_{C^\perp}}(x,y)$, then C is called a $\mathbb{Z}_2\mathbb{Z}_2[u]$-additive formally self-dual code.

Example 4.3. Consider the code $C = \{(0,0|),(1,1|)\}$ in Theorem 4.1. It is clear that $C = C^{\perp}$ and their weight enumerators are both $W_{L_C}(x,y) = x^2 + y^2$. Hence, C is a formally self-dual code. But if the code $C = \{(0,0|),(1,0|)\}$, then it is clear that $C^{\perp} = \{(0,0|),(0,1|)\}$ and their weight enumerators are both $W_{L_C}(x,y) = x^2 + xy$. Hence, C is a formally self-dual code, but not self-dual.

Now, we give the classification of the one-Lee weight $\mathbb{Z}_2\mathbb{Z}_2[u]$-additive formally self-dual codes and gives possible weights for all one-Lee weight formally self-dual codes. It is clear that if C is a one-Lee weight $\mathbb{Z}_2\mathbb{Z}_2[u]$ formally self-dual code, then $\Phi(C)$ is a one weight binary formally self-dual code.

Theorem 4.4. *Let C be a one-Lee weight formally self-dual code in $\mathbb{Z}_2^{\alpha}\mathbb{Z}_2[u]^{\beta}$. Then the weight of C is even if and only if $(1_{\alpha}|u_{\beta}) \in C$ and α is even.*

Proof. If C is a one-Lee weight formally self-dual code in $\mathbb{Z}_2^{\alpha}\mathbb{Z}_2[u]^{\beta}$, then C and $C^{\perp}$ have the same weight enumerators. Hence, $C^{\perp}$ is also a one-Lee weight code. For any $c = (a|b) = (a_0, a_1, \cdots, a_{\alpha-1}|b_0, b_1, \cdots, b_{\beta-1}) \in C$,

$$\begin{aligned}(a|b)\cdot(1_{\alpha}|u_{\beta}) &\equiv u\sum_{i=0}^{\alpha-1} a_i + u\sum_{j=0}^{\beta-1} b_j \\ &\equiv u\sum_{i=0}^{\alpha-1} w_L(a_i) + u\sum_{j=0}^{\beta-1} w_L(b_j) \equiv uw_L(a) + uw_L(b) \\ &\equiv uw_L(c) \in \mathbb{Z}_2 + u\mathbb{Z}_2.\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, if the weight of C is even, then $(a|b)\cdot(1_{\alpha}|u_{\beta}) = 0$, so we have $(1_{\alpha}|u_{\beta}) \in C^{\perp}$. Otherwise, $(a|b)\cdot(1_{\alpha}|u_{\beta}) = u$, then $(1_{\alpha}|u_{\beta}) \notin C^{\perp}$. Note that the weight enumerators of C and $C^{\perp}$ are the same and $(1_{\alpha}|u_{\beta})$ is the only vector with Lee weight $\alpha + 2\beta$. If $(1_{\alpha}|u_{\beta}) \in C^{\perp}$, then $(1_{\alpha}|u_{\beta}) \in C$. Since the weight of C is even and equals $\alpha + 2\beta$, it follows that α is even. □

Suppose that C is a one-Lee weight formally self-dual code in $\mathbb{Z}_2^{\alpha}\mathbb{Z}_2[u]^{\beta}$ with weight m. Then the weight enumerators of C and $C^{\perp}$ are the same and the weight enumerators are

$$W_{L_C}(x,y) = W_{L_{C^{\perp}}}(x,y) = x^N + (|C|-1)x^{N-m}y^m.$$

By the MacWilliams identity we have $W_{L_C}(x,y) = \frac{1}{|C^{\perp}|}W_{L_{C^{\perp}}}(x+y, x-y)$. Then, we have

$$x^N + (|C|-1)x^{N-m}y^m = \frac{1}{|C^{\perp}|}((x+y)^N + (|C^{\perp}|-1)(x+y)^{N-m}(x-y)^m). \tag{5}$$

Note that C is a formally self-dual code, so $|C| = |C^{\perp}|$. Next, by studying the equation (5), we determine conditions for the values of α and β and give a complete classification for this class of one-Lee weight formally self-dual code. We consider the following two possibilities

(i) Case 1: $N = m$. By (5), we have

$$x^N + (|C|-1)y^N = \frac{1}{|C|}((x+y)^N + (|C|-1)(x-y)^N). \tag{6}$$

Comparing the coefficients of y^N on the both side of (6), we can get

$$|C| - 1 = \frac{1}{|C|}(1 + (|C|-1)(-1)^N).$$

If N is even, we can deduce $|C| = 2$. Noting that $|C| = \frac{1}{|C^\perp|}2^N$ and $|C| = |C^\perp|$, we have $N = m = 2$. In this case, it follows from Theorem 4.1 that $C = C^\perp = \{(0,0|), (1,1|)\}$ or $C = C^\perp = \{(|0), (|u)\}$. If N is odd, we have $|C| - 1 = \frac{1}{|C|}(2 - |C|)$. Since $|C|$ is a positive integer, the equation has no integer root. Therefore, N is not odd.

(ii) Case 2: $N \neq m$. Clearly, $N > m$. Then, comparing the coefficient of y^N in both sides of (5), we have

$$0 = \frac{1}{|C|}(1 + (|C| - 1)(-1)^m) \tag{7}$$

If m is even, then the right side of (7) is equal to 1. This gives a contradiction.
If m is odd, we have $0 = \frac{1}{|C|}(2 - |C|)$. Hence, $|C| = 2$. Note that $|C| = \frac{1}{|C^\perp|}2^N$ and $|C| = |C^\perp|$. Therefore, $N = 2$. Since $N = \alpha + 2\beta$, it follows that $\alpha = 2, \beta = 0$ or $\alpha = 0, \beta = 1$. On the other hand, $N > m$. So, $m = 1$. If $\alpha = 2$ and $\beta = 0$, the $(0,1|)$ or $(1,0|)$ are the codewords with weight 1. If $\alpha = 0$ and $\beta = 1$, then there exist no formally self-dual code with weight 1. So, $C = \{(0,0|), (0,1|)\}$ and $C^\perp = \{(0,0|), (1,0|)\}$, or $C = \{(0,0|), (1,0|)\}$ and $C^\perp = \{(0,0|), (0,1|)\}$.

From the discussions above, we have the following theorem.

Theorem 4.5. *Let C be a one-Lee weight $\mathbb{Z}_2^\alpha \mathbb{Z}_2[u]^\beta$ formally self-dual code with weight m.*

(1) *If m is even, then $m = 2$ and $C = C^\perp = \{(0,0|), (1,1|)\}$ or $C = C^\perp = \{(|0), (|u)\}$.*

(2) *If m is odd, then $m = 1$ and $C = \{(0,0|), (0,1|)\}$ and $C^\perp = \{(0,0|), (1,0|)\}$, or $C = \{(0,0|), (1,0|)\}$ and $C^\perp = \{(0,0|), (0,1|)\}$.*

5 Two-Lee weight projective $\mathbb{Z}_2\mathbb{Z}_2[u]$-additive codes

In this section, we concentrate our study on two-Lee weight projective $\mathbb{Z}_2\mathbb{Z}_2[u]$-additive codes and determine the algebraic structure of two-Lee weight projective code. Recall that a code is said to be t-Lee weight code if the cardinality of the set of nonzero Lee weight is t. A code over $\mathbb{Z}_2\mathbb{Z}_2[u]$ is said to be projective code if the nonzero minimum Lee weight of its dual code is at least three.

Definition 5.1. A $\mathbb{Z}_2\mathbb{Z}_2[u]$-additive code is called a two-Lee weight projective $\mathbb{Z}_2\mathbb{Z}_2[u]$-additive code if the cardinality of the set of nonzero Lee weight is two and the minimum Lee weight of its dual code is at least three.

Let C be a t-Lee weight $\mathbb{Z}_2\mathbb{Z}_2[u]$-additive code, then $\Phi(C)$ is a t-weight binary code. Let C be a two-Lee weight projective $\mathbb{Z}_2\mathbb{Z}_2[u]$-additive code with weight m_1 and m_2. Let $N = \alpha + 2\beta$ and $\{A_0, A_{m_1}, A_{m_2}\}$ be its Lee weight distribution. The Lee weight enumerator of C is defined by $W_L(x,y) = x^N + A_{m_1}x^{N-m_1}y^{m_1} + A_{m_2}x^{N-m_2}y^{m_2}$. The MacWilliams identity with respect to the Lee weight enumerators of C is $W_{L_C}(x,y) = \frac{1}{|C^\perp|}W_{L_{C^\perp}}(x+y, x-y)$. Let $\{B_0, B_1, \cdots, B_N\}$ be the Lee weight distribution of $C^\perp$. Similar to the equations (1), (2), (3) and (4), we have

$$A_0 + A_{m_1} + A_{m_2} = |C| = \frac{1}{|C^\perp|}2^N, \tag{8}$$

$$m_1 A_{m_1} + m_2 A_{m_2} = \frac{|C|}{2}(N - B_1), \tag{9}$$

$$m_1^2 A_{m_1} + m_2^2 A_{m_2} = \frac{|C|}{2}[\frac{N}{2}(N+1) - NB_1 + B_2]. \tag{10}$$

Theorem 5.2. *Let C be a two-Lee weight projective $\mathbb{Z}_2\mathbb{Z}_2[u]$-additive code with weight m_1 and m_2. Assume $N = \alpha + 2\beta$, then*

$$N^2 - N(2m_1 + 2m_2 - 1) + m_1 m_2 \left(4 - \frac{4}{|C|}\right) = 0, \tag{11}$$

$$A_{m_1} = \frac{\frac{|C|}{2}N - m_2(|C|-1)}{m_1 - m_2}, \quad A_{m_2} = \frac{\frac{|C|}{2}N - m_1(|C|-1)}{m_2 - m_1}. \tag{12}$$

Proof. Since C is a two-Lee weight projective $\mathbb{Z}_2\mathbb{Z}_2[u]$-additive codes, $A_0 = 1$ and $B_1 = B_2 = 0$. Let us consider the integral coefficient polynomial

$$(x - m_1)(x - m_2) = a_0 + a_1 x + x^2,$$

where $a_0 = m_1 m_2$ and $a_1 = -(m_1 + m_2)$. Note that $a_0 + a_1 m_1 + m_1^2 = 0$ and $a_0 + a_1 m_2 + m_2^2 = 0$. Then, computing the combination $a_0(8) + a_1(9) + (10)$ can get the equation (11). Finally, by solving the linear system of (8) and (9), we can obtain (12). □

Theorem 5.3. *Let C be a two-Lee weight projective $\mathbb{Z}_2\mathbb{Z}_2[u]$-additive code with $N = \alpha + 2\beta$. Then there exists a two-Lee weight projective $\mathbb{Z}_2\mathbb{Z}_2[u]$-additive code with nonzero weight $\frac{N}{2}$ and $\frac{|C|}{2}$.*

Proof. By (11), $\Delta = (2m_1 + 2m_2 - 1)^2 - 4m_1 m_2(4 - \frac{4}{|C|})$. Suppose that $m_1 m_2 = \omega|C|$ for some $\omega \in \mathbb{N}$, then

$$\Delta = (2m_1 + 2m_2 - 1)^2 - 16(m_1 m_2 - \omega).$$

Since N is an integer, Δ must be a square. Hence, when $\omega = \frac{m_2}{2}$, we have

$$\Delta = (2m_1 - 2m_2 - 1)^2, \quad m_1 = \frac{|C|}{2}. \tag{13}$$

Thus

$$N = \frac{(2m_1 + 2m_2 - 1) \pm (2m_1 - 2m_2 - 1)}{2}. \tag{14}$$

By (14), $N = 2m_1 - 1$ or $N = 2m_2$. When $N = 2m_1 - 1$, then $m_1 = \frac{N+1}{2}$. In (13), $m_1 = \frac{|C|}{2}$. So $N + 1 = |C|$. In (12), $A_{m_2} = \frac{\frac{|C|}{2}N - m_1(|C|-1)}{m_2 - m_1}$, so we can deduce $A_{m_2} = 0$, this contradicts the definition of the two-Lee weight codes. When $N = 2m_2$, then $m_2 = \frac{N}{2}$. □

Next, using the above properties, we construct some two-Lee weight (projective) $\mathbb{Z}_2\mathbb{Z}_2[u]$-additive codes which produce optimal binary linear codes.

Example 5.4. Consider the $\mathbb{Z}_2\mathbb{Z}_2[u]$-additive code C with $\alpha = 1$ and $\beta = 8$ generated by the following generator matrix

$$G = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & u & u & 1+u & 1+u \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1+u & 1+u & u & u \\ 1 & 0 & u & 0 & u & 0 & u & 0 & u \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & u & u & 0 & 0 & u & u \end{pmatrix}.$$

The code C is of type (1, 8; 2, 0, 2) and the Lee weight enumerator is $W_{L_C}(x, y) = x^{17} + 7x^9y^8 + 8x^8y^9$. So, the code is a two-Lee weight $\mathbb{Z}_2\mathbb{Z}_2[u]$-additive code. The Gray image of C is an optimal binary $[17, 4, 8]$-linear code.

Example 5.5. Consider the $\mathbb{Z}_2\mathbb{Z}_2[u]$-additive code C with $\alpha = 2$ and $\beta = 6$ generated by the following generator matrix

$$G = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & u & 0 & u & 0 & u \\ 0 & 0 & u & u & 0 & 0 & u & u \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & u & u & u & u \end{pmatrix}.$$

The code C is of type (2, 6; 2, 0, 2) and the Lee weight enumerator is $W_{L_C}(x, y) = x^{14} + 8x^7y^7 + 7x^6y^8$. So, C is a two-Lee $\mathbb{Z}_2\mathbb{Z}_2[u]$-additive code. Moreover, $\Phi(C)$ is an optimal binary $[14, 4, 7]$-linear code. By the MacWilliams identity, we have

$$\begin{aligned} W_{L_{C^\perp}}(x, y) &= x^{14} + 28x^{11}y^3 + 77x^{10}y^4 + 112x^9y^5 + 168x^8y^6 + 232x^7y^7 \\ &\quad + 203x^6y^8 + 112x^5y^9 + 56x^4y^{10} + 28x^3y^{11} + 7x^2y^{12}. \end{aligned}$$

Since the nonzero minimum Lee weight of $C^\perp$ is at least three, the code C is a two-Lee weight projective $\mathbb{Z}_2\mathbb{Z}_2[u]$-additive code. The Gray image of $C^\perp$ gives an optimal binary $[14, 10, 3]$-linear code.

Example 5.6. Consider the $\mathbb{Z}_2\mathbb{Z}_2[u]$-additive code C with $\alpha = 6$ and $\beta = 9$ generated by the following generator matrix

$$G = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & u & u & u & u & u & u & u & u \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & u & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & u & u & u & u \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & u & 0 & 0 & u & u & 0 & 0 & u & u \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & u & 0 & u & 0 & u & 0 & u & 0 & u \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1+u & 1+u & 1+u & 1+u & 1+u & 1+u & 1+u & 1+u & 1+u \end{pmatrix}.$$

The code C is of type (6, 9; 3, 0, 2) and the Lee weight enumerator is

$$W_{L_C}(x, y) = x^{24} + 28x^{12}y^{12} + 3x^8y^{16}.$$

Further,

$$\begin{aligned} W_{L_{C^\perp}}(x, y) &= x^{24} + 64x^{21}y^3 + 378x^{20}y^4 + 1344x^{19}y^5 + 4032x^{18}y^6 + 10752x^{17}y^7 \\ &\quad + 23439x^{16}y^8 + 40960x^{15}y^9 + 60480x^{14}y^{10} + 77952x^{13}y^{11} + 85484x^{12}y^{12} \\ &\quad + 77952x^{11}y^{13} + 60480x^{10}y^{14} + 40960x^9y^{15} + 23439x^8y^{16} + 10752x^7y^{17} \\ &\quad + 4032x^6y^{18} + 1344x^5y^{19} + 378x^4y^{20} + 64x^3y^{21} + y^{24}. \end{aligned}$$

Note that the nonzero minimum Lee weight of $C^\perp$ is at least three. So, the code C is a two-Lee weight projective $\mathbb{Z}_2\mathbb{Z}_2[u]$-additive code. The Gray image $\Phi(C)$ is an optimal binary $[24, 5, 12]$-linear code,

and $\Phi(C^{\perp})$ is an optimal binary $[24, 19, 3]$-linear code.

Example 5.7. Consider the $\mathbb{Z}_2\mathbb{Z}_2[u]$-additive code C with $\alpha = 4$ and $\beta = 6$ generated by the following generator matrix

$$G = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & u & u & u & u & u & u \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & u & u \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & u & u & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & u & 0 & u & 0 & u \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The code C is of type (4, 6; 2, 0, 3) and the Lee weight enumerator is

$$W_{L_C}(x, y) = x^{16} + 30x^8y^8 + x^8y^{16}.$$

Further,

$$W_{L_C}(x, y) = x^{16} + 140x^{12}y^4 + 448x^{10}y^6 + 870x^8y^8 + 448x^6y^{10} + 140x^4y^{12} + y^{16}.$$

Since the nonzero minimum Lee weight of $C^{\perp}$ is at least three, the code C is a two-Lee weight projective $\mathbb{Z}_2\mathbb{Z}_2[u]$-additive code. The Gray image $\Phi(C)$ is an optimal binary $[16, 5, 8]$-linear code, and $\Phi(C^{\perp})$ gives an optimal binary $[16, 11, 4]$-linear code.

6 Conclusion

This paper is devoted to the study one-Lee weight and two-Lee weight codes over $\mathbb{Z}_2\mathbb{Z}_2[u]$, where $u^2 = 0$. The structures and properties of these codes are obtained. We also give a complete classification for one-Lee weight $\mathbb{Z}_2\mathbb{Z}_2[u]$-additive formally self-dual codes. Finally, we determine the algebraic structure of two-Lee weight projective $\mathbb{Z}_2\mathbb{Z}_2[u]$-additive codes. By using these structures, we obtain some optimal binary linear codes from one-Lee weight and two-Lee weight $\mathbb{Z}_2\mathbb{Z}_2[u]$-additive codes. This shows that one-Lee weight and two-Lee weight $\mathbb{Z}_2\mathbb{Z}_2[u]$-additive codes are a good resource for constructing optimal binary linear codes. A natural problem is to study N-Lee weight codes over $\mathbb{Z}_q\mathbb{Z}_q[u]$, where $u^2 = 0$ and q is a prime power. Meanwhile, it would be interesting to classify two-Lee weight projective $\mathbb{Z}_2\mathbb{Z}_2[u]$-additive formally self-dual codes.

Acknowledgments

This research is supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China under Grant Nos. 61370089 and 61572168, and the Open Research Fund of National Mobile Communications Research Laboratory, Southeast University under Grant No. 2014D04,

References

[1] I. Aydogdu, T. Abualrub, I. Siap, On $\mathbb{Z}_2\mathbb{Z}_2[u]$-additive codes, Int. J. Comput. Math. 92 (9) (2015) 1806 -1814.

[2] A. Bonisoli, Every equidistant linear code is a sequence of dual Hamming codes, Ars Combin. 18 (1984) 181-186.

[3] J. Borges, C. Ferández-Córdoba, J. Pujol, J. Rifà, M. Villanueva, $\mathbb{Z}_2\mathbb{Z}_4$-Linear codes: generator matrices and duality, Des. Codes Cryptogr. 54(2) (2010) 167-179.

[4] A.E. Brouwer, Some new two-weight codes and strongly regular graphs, Discrete Appl. Math. 10 (1985) 111-114.

[5] A.R. Calderbank, J.M. Kantor, The geometry of two-weight codes, Bull. Lond. Math. Soc. 18 (1986) 97-122.

[6] C. Carlet, One-weight $\mathbb{Z}_4$-linear codes, Coding Theory, Cryptography and Related Areas, 57-72, 2000.

[7] C. Carlet, C. Ding, J. Yuan, Linear codes from perfect nonlinear mappings and their secret sharing schemes, IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory 51 (6) (2005) 2089-2102.

[8] C. Chen, X.T. Wang, B.M. Bai, G.M. Shi, Constant weight codes from constant dimension codes and their applications, IEEE Commut. Lett. 19 (2014) 311-314.

[9] F. De Clerk, M. Delanote, Two-weight codes, partial geometries and Steiner systems, Des. Codes Cryptogr. 21 (2000) 87-98.

[10] P. Delsarte, An algebraic approach to the association schemes of coding theory, philips Research Rep Suppl, 28, 1973.

[11] S.T. Dougherty, C. Ferández-Córdoba, $\mathbb{Z}_2\mathbb{Z}_4$-Additive formally self-dual codes, Des. Codes Cryptogr. 72 (2014) 435-453.

[12] S.T. Dougherty, H.W. Liu, L. Yu, One weight $\mathbb{Z}_2\mathbb{Z}_4$ additive codes, AAECC 27 (2016) 123-138.

[13] V. Fack, I. Bouyukliev, W. Willems, J. Winne, Projective two-weight codes with small parameters and their corresponding graphs, Des. Codes Cryptogr. 41 (2006) 59-78.

[14] A.R. Hammons Jr., P.V. Kumar, A.R. Calderbank, N.J.A. Sloane, P. Sole, The $\mathbb{Z}_4$-linearity of Kerdock, Preparata, Goethals, and related codes, IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory 40 (1994) 301-319.

[15] J.V. Lint, L. Tolhuizem, On perfect ternary constant weight codes, Des. Codes Cryptogr. 18 (1999) 231-234.

[16] A. Rao, N. Pinnawala, A family of two-weight irreducible cyclic codes, IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory 56 (2010) 2568-2570.

[17] M.J. Shi, P. Solé, Optimal p-ary codes from one-weight and two-weightt codes over $\mathbb{F}_p + \upsilon\mathbb{F}_p$, J. Syst. Sci. Complex 28 (2015) 679-690.

[18] M.J. Shi, Y. Luo, P. Solé, Construction of one-Lee weight and two-Lee weightt codess over $\mathbb{F}_p + \upsilon\mathbb{F}_p$, J. Syst. Sci. Complex 2016 1-10.

[19] G. Vega, J. Wolfmann, New class of 2-weight cyclic codes, Des. Codes Cryptogr. 42 (2007) 327-334.

[20] Y. Wang, S.X. Zhu, X.S. Kai, L. Deng, One-Lee weight codes and two-Lee weight projective codes over $\mathbb{F}_2 + u\mathbb{F}_2$, Journal of Shanghai Jiaotong University, 46 (2012) 842-847.

[21] J.A. Wood, The Structure of linear codes of constant weight, Trans. Am. Math. Soc. 354 (2002) 1007-1026.

[22] B. Yildiz, S. Karadeniz, Linear codes over $\mathbb{Z}_4 + u\mathbb{Z}_4$: MacWilliams identities, projections, and formally self-dual codes, Finite Fields Appl. 27 (2014) 24-40.

[23] J. Yuan, C. Ding, Secret sharing schemes from three classes of linear codes, IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory 52 (2006) 206-212.